DETERRENCE IN THE 21st CENTURY: AN EFFECTS-BASED APPROACH IN AN INTERCONNECTED WORLD

VOLUME III -Appendix H: DAPSE Offline – Blank Appendix I: Peaches Scenario

1 October 2007

Prepared for US Strategic Command Global Innovation and Strategy Center (USSTRATCOM/GISC)

> Prepared by Strategic Multi-Layer Analysis Team Nancy Chesser, Editor 703 697-0211 Nancy.Chesser@js.pentagon.mil

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS -	- VOLUME III
---------------------	--------------

Appendix H: DAPSE Offline - Blank	1
1 DAPSE Step 1: Specify deterrence objective & strategic context	1
1.1 Guidance typology & actor identification	1
1.2 Decision making typology for each actor	2
1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor	6
1.4 Context typology for each actor	8
2 DAPSE Step 2: Assess decision calculus of actor decision maker	10
2.1 Interests typology for each actor	10
3 DAPSE Step 3: Identify desired deterrence effects on actor decision calculus	13
3.1 Deterrence Decision Calculus (DDC) matrixes for each actor	13
3.1.1 Motivations/Interests, Objectives and Actions – Tables 1&2	
3.1.2 Capabilities Needed for Actions – Table 3	
3.1.3 Costs & Benefits that Accrue from Actions (What Actor Wants) – Table	
3.1.4 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: Actor's Perceptions of US Optio	
Table 5 2.1.5 Analysis of Astron's Desiries Calculated US Descention of US Octions. The second sec	
3.1.5 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: US Perception of US Options –T	
3.1.6 Analysis of Refined US Options – Table 7	
3.2 Identify testable influence levers	20
Appendix I: DAPSE Offline – Peaches Scenario	20
11	
1 DAPSE Step 1: Specify deterrence objective & strategic context	21
1.1 Guidance typology & actor identification	21 22
1.2 Decision making typology for each actor1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor	22
1.4 Context typology for each actor	24 26
 DAPSE Step 2: Assess decision calculus of actor decision maker 2.1 Interests typology for each actor 	28 28
3 DAPSE Step 3: Identify desired deterrence effects on actor decision calculus	31
3.1 Deterrence Decision Calculus (DDC) matrixes for each actor	31
 3.1.1 Motivations/Interests, Objectives and Actions – Tables 1&2 3.1.2 Capabilities Needed for Actions – Table 3 	
3.1.2 Capabilities Needed for Actions – Table 5	
3.1.4 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: Actor's Perceptions of US Option	
Table 5	
3.1.5 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: US Perceptions of US Options –	
3.1.6 Analysis of Refined US Options – Table 7	
3.2 Identify testable influence levers	38

Appendix H: DAPSE Offline - Blank

In material below – text in blue indicates instructions or notes rather than questions to be answered.

1 DAPSE Step 1: Specify deterrence objective & strategic context

1.1 Guidance typology & actor identification

GUIDANCE	RESPONSE
What is the Commander's Mission Statement?	
What is the Commander's Intent?	
Purpose	
• Method	
Risk	
• Endstate	
What is the desired deterrence effect?	
What is the current situation?	
Staff Estimate Analysis	
Assigned Tasks	
Specified Tasks	
Implied Tasks	
Mission Essential Tasks	
Assumptions	

Who is/are the relevant decision maker(s)? (i.e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Decision maker may be an individual or group or coalition.

Consider also as part of the political context individuals who can influence the relevant decision maker. Who are influencers?

Complete characterization below for each decision maker and influencer.

Notes: Unless indicated otherwise, 'actor' refers to the entity described in the Commander's Guidance; 'individual' refers to a single person, whether the relevant single decision maker or a person who has been identified as an influencer on the relevant decision maker.

ACTOR: **1.2 Decision making typology for each actor**

Decision Unit Responses For the issue in the Commander's Guidance, who is the relevant decision maker? (i. e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker • Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) Ocalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political tructure?	 the relevant decision maker? (i. e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) 	
the relevant decision maker? (i. e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) • Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker • Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	 the relevant decision maker? (i. e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) 	
the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) • Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker • Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	 the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) 	
reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker • Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	 reversal) Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) 	
Maker • Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	 Maker Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit - Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) 	
Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.)	
Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	Who are the dominant members? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.)	
opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) • Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.)	
 Coalition - Who are the dominant members within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political 		
within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.) Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	- Coantion - who are the dominant members	
leaders, experts, high status, etc.) Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political		
Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used to determine the extent to which a relevant decision maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political		
maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, ideas, etc. If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political		
If Individual Chosen: For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be use	d to determine the extent to which a relevant decision
 For each relevant individual identified above (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political 		ideas, etc.
 (committer of resources, decision maker influencer, etc.), is s/he: A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political 		
etc.), is s/he: • • A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? • • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political •	For each relevant individual identified above	
 A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)? An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political 	(committer of resources, decision maker influencer,	
from an official position)? • An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	etc.), is s/he:	
An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	• A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives	
derives from recognized expertise, constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	from an official position)?	
constituencies /connections, possession of important information, religious or political	• An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority	
important information, religious or political	derives from recognized expertise,	
$-t_{-}$		
	stature)?	
• Other	• Other	
Identify the key characteristics of each individual		
leader or key advisor identified	leader or key advisor identified	
What is the name of the individual?		
What is the age of the individual?	• What is the age of the individual?	
• What relevant experiences of the individual's	1	
generation or cohort likely defined or shaped		
the members of the generation/cohort		
(especially when individual was 17-25 years old)?		
What is the gender of the individual?	• What is the gender of the individual?	
o Male		
o Female	o Female	
To what ethno-religious group does the	• To what ethno-religious group does the	
individual belong?	••••	
• Is the individual known as a risk taker or		
cautious (i.e., risk averse) decision maker?	cautious (i.e., risk averse) decision maker?	
• Risk taker		
• Cautious (risk averse)	o Cautions (risk averse)	

	Responses
2	
nal roles or	
rs, interest in a	
1 /	
e of foreign	
:	
nant perspective or	
- ·	
es/ideologies	
bound by similar	
Ũ	
independent of	
:-1-4:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
s come together	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
nted by group	
	on authority and/or hal roles or to contextual orms, morale, rs, interest in a es that may have dividual (e.g., raumatic personal, ces? ducation level? dividual study? e of foreign nant perspective or pers with multiple, es/ideologies r more sub-groups making process, for p may have type of issues; bound by similar groups capable of nd within their own and funding sources e independent of islation, tion)? s come together

Decision Unit	Responses
• What is the majority gender of the group?	
o Male	
o Female	
o Mixed	
• What is the primary ethno-religious group of	
the group (for Coalitions, what are the	
primary ethno-religious orientations of the	
member group)?	
• What major experiences may have shaped and	
defined the group?	
• Who are the dominant group members (in	
Coalitions, identify for each group)?	
[Complete characterization for each	
individual.]	
• How long has the group/coalition existed?	
• Are/were there any major events or	
experiences that led to the group's/coalition's	
formation/existence? [Describe]	
• Are there observable/known commonalities	
among group/coalition members (e.g.,	
education, military experience, ethnicity,	
tribal/clan links, business, religion, etc.)?	
• What is the group process for resolving	
disagreements?	
Majority rule	
Consensus Deminent member choice or yete	
O Dominant member choice or veto	
 Use of external experts/authority, independent commissions, mediation, 	
local, regional or international	
organizations	
 Coercion 	
• Bribery, side payments, trade-offs	
 Withdrawal of disagreeing members 	
 Removal or exclusion of disagreeing 	
members	
 Situation dependent 	
• Other	
• Which of the following characterize the group	
context (i.e., factors likely to affect group	
decision making)? [Describe each as it relates	
to the deterrence issue.]	
◦ Ideology	
 Cohesion (closeness among group 	
members) [Level and extent]	
• Embeddedness (extent to which individual	
identity based on group membership)	

Decision	Unit	Responses
0	Sources of leader support (i.e., charisma, hierarchical position in group based on wealth, expertise, or status, control of resources, relationships with important constituents)	
0	Culture	
	• Traditional (more religious) vs secular	
	Individual vs collectivist	
	Shame vs guilt avoidance	
0	Relationships outside group – Are there local, regional, and/or international relationships that are critical for the outcome of the issue for the group?	
• How	w is the focal issue framed?	
0	Potential loss?	
0	Potential gain?	
0	Group philosophy regarding the issue that shapes how the issue is perceived?	

Decision Making	Responses
What is the relevant decision maker's dominant	
decision-making approach? (Refer to typology for	
definitions and examples)	
Rational	
• Heuristic	
Sense-making	
• Expert	
• Other:	
• Don't know	

Rational – decision maker employs unweighted value maximization in order to make his choice.

Heuristic –decision maker relies on cognitive short-cuts (norms, rules of thumb)

Sense-making –decision maker tries to understand a situation or environment by probing it (actions/decisions) and making adjustments on the basis of response received

Expert –decision maker follows the advice of recognized experts or authority figures

Note that there are implications of decision making mode for deterrence – see typologies.

1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor

1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor	
Capabilities	Responses
Describe the actor's nuclear capabilities (including	
make, buy, steal)?	
Large capability	
Small capability	
Could probably acquire	
No capability	
Don't know	
Are these nuclear capabilities:	
• Overt	
Covert	
Don't know	
Describe the actor's biological capabilities (including	
make, buy, steal)?	
Large capability	
Small capability	
Could probably acquire	
No capability	
• Don't know	
Are these biological capabilities	
• Overt	
Covert	
• Don't know	
Describe the actor's chemical capabilities (including	
make, buy, steal)?	
Large capability	
Small capability	
Could probably acquire	
No capability	
Don't know	
Are these chemical capabilities	
• Overt	
• Covert	
Don't know	
What are the actor's conventional military	
capabilities?	
Heavy mobile strike force	
Light conventional force	
Unconventional/guerrilla/terrorist capabilities	
Force projection capability	
• Other	
Which best describe the actor's global presence?	
Has known, identifiable allies	
Holds position(s) in international	
organizations	

ACTOR:	D
Capabilities	Responses
• Is sponsored by state actor(s)	
Has relationships with non-state groups	
• Other	
What are the actor's economic capabilities?	
Monetary power (currency reserves)	
Actor-influenced or owned private business	
Other aid and funding sources	
• Other	
What is the actor's span of influence?	
Local	
Regional	
• Global	
• Other	
Does the actor have a cyber presence?	
• Yes [describe]	
• No	
What is the actor's ability to adapt?	
 Distributed infrastructure network 	
 Reserve organizational capacity (e.g., personnel, resources) 	
Reserve/redundant infrastructure	
• Evidence of ability to change rapidly	
• Other:	
Don't know	
Describe the actor's communications/PR capabilities?	
Informal	
Traditional long-distance communication	
Phone lines	
Cellular communications	
• Internet	
Mass media	
• Marketplaces and community gathering places	
Word of mouth/runners	
Line-of-sight/earshot	
• Other:	
Describe the actor's territory	
Size of territory controlled	
Strategic value of territory controlled	
Economic value of territory controlled	
Territorial markers	
Other	

1.4 Context typology for each actor	
Context	Responses
Geographic Factors	
How abundant are key geographic resources	
(including oil wells, mineral deposits, water wells,	
pastures, arable land, and key transportation routes)?	
Abundant	
Sufficient to support constituents/economy	
• Sparse	
Insufficient to support constituents/economy	
Nonexistent/Unavailable	
Don't know	
What is the strategic value of the physical terrain?	
Military advantage	
Economic advantage	
 Access to water/water routes, etc. 	
• Other:	
Where is the majority of the population concentrated?	
Rural areas	
Urban areas	
with of rular and droan areas	
• Other	
What is the stage of development of the area in which the actor operator?	
the actor operates?	
Emerging	
Developing	
Developed	
• Failing	
Group operates in several areas:	
• Areas of operation are primarily (describe)	
• Area of operation varies in stage of	
development	
• Other:	
Cultural Factors:	
What is the actor's primary source of identity?	
• Tribal	
National (citizenship)	
• Ethnic	
Kin Group	
Religious	
Regional	
Socio-economic	
• Other	
What is the actor's value system (norms)?	
Meritocracy	
Guilt	
Oulli	

Honor/shame	
• Other:	

2 DAPSE Step 2: Assess decision calculus of actor decision maker

2.1 Interests typology for each actor

All entries should be from the perspective of the actor – not that of the US.

All entries should be from the perspective of the actor - Interests	Responses
	Responses
What are the primary interests or objectives (decision dimensions) as perceived by the relevant	
decision making unit for the issue or behavior the	
US wishes to deter?	
Enhance political control, authority, or legitimacy	
(domestic security)	
Enhance political control, authority, or	
legitimacy (domestic security)	
Increase group membership or state population	
Eliminate/ neutralize internal threats to regime or leadership	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
Tighten internal security and control	
• Create a crisis to divert domestic attention from other issues	
Co-opt source of perceived internal threat	
Other:	
Maintain, strengthen status, political position, or	
movement	
Maintain, strengthen status	
Maintain, strengthen political position	
Maintain, strengthen movement	
Other:	
Provide for national or group security from perceived	
external threat	
Provide defense from or eliminate perceived external threat to constituents	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
Acquire WMD	
Expand Military /Force capability	
Eliminate/ neutralize internal threat	
Secure access to strategic resource flow	
• Other:	
Acquiring/maintaining resources	
Acquire/maintain wealth	
Acquire wealth	
Collect Taxes	
Engage in illegal or illicit/black market activities	
• Other:	
Acquire human capital / group members	

ACTOR:	Desmonage
Interests	Responses
Increase group membership or supportive population base	
• Other:	
Growth/ expansion of a national, semi-diverse	
economy	
Grow economy	
Expand territory	
Collect taxes	
Create or improve access to natural resources	
Acquire Military /Force capability	
• Other:	
Prestige	
Enhanced international prestige	
Enhance international prestige	
• Expand presence and stature in	
international/regional organizations and	
negotiations	
Seek claimed territory	
Intervene in neighboring countries	
Seek WMD	
Expand Military /Force capability	
Increase international political legitimacy	
• Other (constructive or destructive) attempts to	
engage in international politics	
• Other:	
Spread of ideology	
Spread of ideology	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
• Other:	
Promote Identity	
Differentiate or enhance national or group identity	
versus others	
• Non-violent attempts (e.g., communications	
or actions) to secure regional or international recognition of identity group's rights, culture,	
etc.	
• Other:	
Dominate another ethnicity or national group	
Dominate another ethnicity or national group	
 Seek territory, resources occupied by other 	
group	
 Occupy territory held/claimed by other group 	
Other:	
Increase group membership or population supportive	
of group's identity	
- Broup billion	

Interests	Dosponsos
Interests	Responses
 Increase group membership or population supportive of group's identity 	
 Proselytize to "eligible" people outside immediate group 	
• Other:	
Personal call to adhere to (often extremist) ideological precepts or religious teaching	
• Personal call to adhere (psychic benefits)	
• Other:	
What interests are most important to the actor?	
What options related to the deterrable behavior	
does the decision maker perceive as both available and politically, religiously, or ideologically acceptable?	
What preferred actions does the decision maker perceive as available and acceptable to the US?	
What are the most serious threats to each major interest identified? Do group members support the decision maker on this issue?	

3 DAPSE Step 3: Identify desired deterrence effects on actor decision calculus

3.1 Deterrence Decision Calculus (DDC) matrixes for each actor

3.1.1 Motivations/Interests, Objectives and Actions – Tables 1&2

Analyze Motivations/Interests vs. Objectives vs. Actions:

- Motivations/Interests: that which energizes, directs, and maintains behavior (including decision-making)
- Objectives: concrete goals desired by decision maker. Concrete objectives are more predictive of proximate behavior than more abstract ideals, which are often invoked after-the-fact to justify actions. Can be held at risk or denied.
- Actions: Activities we seek to influence

Insert where appropriate (Motivations/Interests, Objectives or Actions) entry from Guidance – work backwards or forwards as appropriate to complete column and row headings for two tables below (Tables 1 and 2), using as many columns and rows as needed. Note that column headings in Table 1 (Objectives) should be identical to row headings in Table 2. Consider "Do Nothing" as one of the potential actions. Indicate with X which column headings apply to the corresponding row heading.

Note: In tables that follow, red borders are reminder that all information is from the perspective of the actor, not that of the US. Blue borders indicate information is from perspective of US.

Table 1.

Actor's	Actor's Objectives		
Motivations/ Interests	Objective 1	<i>Objective 2</i>	Objective 3
Interest 1			
Interest 2			
Interest 3			

Table 2.

Actor's Objectives	Actor's Actions			
	Action 1	Action 2	Action 3	Action 4
Objective 1				
Objective 2				
Objective 3				

ACTOR: 3.1.2 Capabilities Needed for Actions – Table 3

In Table 3 below, copy column headings in Table 2 (Actions) to be row headings (Column 1) in Table 3. Then enter as column headings the capabilities needed to perform the actions. Consider capabilities identified in Step 1. Use as many columns as needed. Then indicate with X which capabilities apply to each action.

Table 3.

	Actor's Capabilities					
Actor's Actions	Capability 1	Capability 2	Capability 3	Capability 4		
Action 1						
Action 2						
Action 3						
Action 4						

ACTOR: 3.1.3 Costs & Benefits that Accrue from Actions (What Actor Wants) – Table 4

Copy Row Headings (Column 1) from Table 3 (Actor's Actions) to Row Headings in Table 4 below. Next, in column 2 identify elements of Context from step 1 that are relevant to each action. In column 3 identify benefits to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of each action. In column 4 identify the costs to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of each action. In columns 5 & 6 identify the benefits and costs (respectively) to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of not performing each action (the consequences of restraint).

Table 4.

Actor's Actions	Relevant Context	Benefits to Actor	Costs to Actor	Benefits to Actor of Not Acting	Costs to Actor of Not Acting
Action 1					
Action 2					
Action 3					
Action 4					

ACTOR: 3.1.4 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: Actor's Perceptions of US Options – Table 5

In Table 5 below copy Actor's Motivations/Interest from Table 1 to column headings. Copy Actors' Actions to Column 1 as indicated. In column 2 enter potential US response options as perceived by the actor – consider the full range of DIME options (identify with D, I, M, or E following entry). Note that response options can differ for various actions by actor. Insert or delete rows as needed to capture full range of potential US options.

In column 3 (Interest 1) insert for each Actor Action/US option pair, an estimate of how that pair supports (or is contrary to) interest 1. In column 4 (# following Interest 1) indicate which row represents the best outcome for the actor (1) and worst outcome (highest number). If row includes two possibilities (for example if actor is deterred or not deterred by US option), then two numbers can be inserted in column 4. If multiple entries are equal, assign the same number (e.g., four rows could be number 1 in which case next best outcome would be 5). Repeat for remaining interests.

Finally, in last column provide an estimate of overall rank. For example if a row is ranked #1 for each interest it would be #1 overall. If desired, columns can be weighted to consider relative importance of each interest.

Actor's		Actor's Motivations/		Interests				
Actions	US options	Interest 1	#	Interest 2	#	Interest 3	#	Rank
Action 1								
Action 2								
Action 3								
Action 4								

Table 5.

ACTOR: 3.1.5 Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: US Perception of US Options – Table 6

Repeat as for Table 5 except in Column 2 insert US response options as perceived by US. Note that some entries may be the same but others may be discarded or inserted from US point-of-view. In columns 3 and following, the estimates of how each Actor Action/US option pair supports (or is contrary to) the interest is still done from actor's perception.

Actor's		Actor's Mo	otivations/	Interests				
Actions	US options	Interest 1	#	Interest 2	#	Interest 3	#	Rank
Action 1								
Action 2								
Action 3								
Action 4								

Table 6.

ACTOR: 3.1.6 Analysis of Refined US Options – Table 7

Based on Analysis in Tables above, identify refined options. For example, if a certain US option (US option X) drives the Actor to a less desired action, a refined option might include announcing that the US would not consider Option X. Complete columns 4 and 5 with estimates of how the refined option would change the Actor's perception if that option and what the potential consequences of that change would be.

Actor's Actions	Refined US Option	Resultant Change in Actor's	Potential Consequences of Change in
	Kenned 05 Option	Perception of Action	A ster Dans entire
		Perception of Action	Actor Perceptions
Action 1			
Action 2			
Action 3			
Action 4			

Table 7.

1. What are other consequences of US option on this actor?

- 2. What are other consequences of US option on US?
- 3. What are other consequences of US option on other actors?
- 4. What is most likely outcome?

5. What is least likely outcome?

6. What is most dangerous outcome?

3.2 Identify testable influence levers

What influence levers emerge from the analysis above?

Are there other alternatives that should be considered? If so what?

Are there potential lessons to be learned from previous attempts to deter this actor?

These levers and alternatives as well as potential lessons to be learned can be addressed in Step 4 through use of models, subject matter experts, and other sources of information.

Appendix I: DAPSE Offline – Peaches Scenario

In material below – text in blue indicates instructions or notes rather than questions to be answered. Text in purple provides additional information on scenario considered.

1 DAPSE Step 1: Specify deterrence objective & strategic context

1.1 Guidance typology & actor identification

GUIDANCE	RESPONSE
What is the Commander's Mission Statement?	Deter peach stealing from backyard
What is the Commander's Intent?	
Purpose	
• Method	
Risk	
• Endstate	
What is the desired deterrence effect?	No peaches stolen
What is the current situation?	Someone has stolen peaches multiple times
Staff Estimate Analysis	
Assigned Tasks	
Specified Tasks	
Implied Tasks	Retain peaches for family use
Mission Essential Tasks	
Assumptions	Same individual stealing peaches each time

Who is/are the relevant decision maker(s)? (i.e., who can commit the resources of the focal political entity without reversal) Decision maker may be an individual or group or coalition. 14 year old male who lives next door who has been spotted stealing peaches

Consider also as part of the political context individuals who can influence the relevant decision maker. Who are influencers?

Parents, teachers, coach, priest/minister/rabbi, peers

Complete characterization below for each decision maker and influencer.

Notes: Unless indicated otherwise, 'actor' refers to the entity described in the Commander's Guidance; 'individual' refers to a single person, whether the relevant single decision maker or a person who has been identified as an influencer on the relevant decision maker.

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor**1.2 Decision making typology for each actor**

Decision Unit	Responses
For the issue in the Commander's Guidance, who is	•
the relevant decision maker? (i. e., who can commit	
the resources of the focal political entity without	
reversal)	
Individual as Primary Relevant Decision Maker	X
Group as Primary Relevant Decision Unit -	
Who are the dominant members? (e.g.,	
opinion leaders, experts, high status, etc.)	
• Coalition - Who are the dominant members	
within Coalition groups? (e.g., opinion	
leaders, experts, high status, etc.)	
Note: Cells highlighted below in yellow should be used	
maker or actor is open or closed to influence, change, in If Individual Chosen:	deas, etc.
For each relevant individual identified above	
(committer of resources, decision maker influencer,	
etc.), is s/he:	
• A formal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from an official position)?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X
• An informal leader (i.e., his/her authority derives from recognized expertise,	Λ
constituencies /connections, possession of	
important information, religious or political	
stature)?	
• Other	
Identify the key characteristics of each individual	
leader or key advisor identified	
• What is the name of the individual?	Tommy
• What is the age of the individual?	14
 What is the uge of the individual? What relevant experiences of the individual's 	Exhilaration from minor shoplifting (not caught)
generation or cohort likely defined or shaped	Popular music and TV glorify risk taking
the members of the generation/cohort	1 ····································
(especially when individual was 17-25 years	
old)?	
• What is the gender of the individual?	
o Male	Х
o Female	
• To what ethno-religious group does the	American WASP middle-class
individual belong?	
• Is the individual known as a risk taker or	
cautious (i.e., risk averse) decision maker?	
• Risk taker	X doesn't expect to be caught
 Cautious (risk averse) 	

Decision Unit	Responses
• Does the individual question authority and/or test the limits of their formal roles or positions?	
o Yes	Х
0 N0	
• Is the individual sensitive to contextual information (i.e., social norms, morale, survival, concerns of others, interest in a variety of perspectives)?	
o Yes	Don't know
o No	
• What are major experiences that may have shaped and defined the individual (e.g., extensive foreign travel, traumatic personal, family, or friend experiences?	Recent arrival in area – family moved a lot Didn't make varsity football team No date for end-of-year dance
• What is the individual's education level? Where and what did the individual study? Does s/he have knowledge of foreign languages?	High school student
If Group or Coalition Chosen:	Group questions not needed

Decision Making	Responses
What is the relevant decision maker's dominant	
decision-making approach? (Refer to typology for	
definitions and examples)	
Rational	X
Heuristic	
Sense-making	
• Expert	
• Other:	
Don't know	

Rational – decision maker employs unweighted value maximization in order to make his choice.

Heuristic –decision maker relies on cognitive short-cuts (norms, rules of thumb)

Sense-making –decision maker tries to understand a situation or environment by probing it (actions/decisions) and making adjustments on the basis of response received

Expert -decision maker follows the advice of recognized experts or authority figures

Note that there are implications of decision making mode for deterrence – see typologies.

1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor

1.3 Capabilities typology for each actor			
Capabilities	Responses		
Describe the actor's nuclear capabilities (including			
make, buy, steal)?			
Large capability			
Small capability			
Could probably acquire			
No capability	X		
Don't know			
Are these nuclear capabilities:			
• Overt			
• Covert			
Don't know			
Describe the actor's biological capabilities (including			
make, buy, steal)?			
Large capability			
Small capability			
Could probably acquire			
No capability	Х		
• Don't know			
Are these biological capabilities			
• Overt			
• Covert			
• Don't know			
Describe the actor's chemical capabilities (including			
make, buy, steal)?			
• Large capability			
Small capability			
Could probably acquire			
No capability	X		
Don't know			
Are these chemical capabilities			
Overt			
Covert			
• Don't know			
What are the actor's conventional military			
capabilities?			
Heavy mobile strike force			
Light conventional force			
Unconventional/guerrilla/terrorist capabilities			
Force projection capability			
Other	Athletic, expeditionary force of one		
Which best describe the actor's global presence?	, r ,		
Has known, identifiable allies			
Holds position(s) in international			
organizations			
515u112u10115	<u> </u>		

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor	Decrement
Capabilities	Responses
• Is sponsored by state actor(s)	
Has relationships with non-state groups	Soccer team, peers, etc.
• Other	
What are the actor's economic capabilities?	
Monetary power (currency reserves)	
Actor-influenced or owned private business	
Other aid and funding sources	Limited allowance
• Other	
What is the actor's span of influence?	
• Local	X
Regional	
Global	
• Other	
Does the actor have a cyber presence?	
Yes [describe]	X text messaging, video games (James Dean avatar)
• No	
What is the actor's ability to adapt?	
 Distributed infrastructure network 	
 Reserve organizational capacity (e.g., personnel, resources) 	
Reserve/redundant infrastructure	
• Evidence of ability to change rapidly	X – mood swings
• Other:	
• Don't know	
Describe the actor's communications/PR capabilities?	
• Informal	X
Traditional long-distance communication	
Phone lines	X
Cellular communications	X
• Internet	X
Mass media	
• Marketplaces and community gathering places	
Word of mouth/runners	X
Line-of-sight/earshot	
• Other:	
Describe the actor's territory	
Size of territory controlled	His own room
Strategic value of territory controlled	None
Economic value of territory controlled	Slum
Territorial markers	Dirty clothes, rock posters, stickers
• Other	
	1

1.4 Context typology for each actor			
Context	Responses		
Geographic Factors			
How abundant are key geographic resources			
(including oil wells, mineral deposits, water wells,			
pastures, arable land, and key transportation routes)?			
Abundant			
Sufficient to support constituents/economy			
• Sparse			
Insufficient to support constituents/economy			
Nonexistent/Unavailable			
Don't know			
What is the strategic value of the physical terrain?			
Military advantage			
Economic advantage			
• Access to water/water routes, etc.			
• Other:			
Where is the majority of the population concentrated?			
Rural areas			
• Urban areas			
• Mix of rural and urban areas			
• Other			
What is the stage of development of the area in which			
the actor operates?			
Emerging			
Developing			
Developed			
• Failing			
• Group operates in several areas:			
• Areas of operation are primarily (describe)			
• Area of operation varies in stage of			
development			
• Other:			
Cultural Factors:			
What is the actor's primary source of identity?			
• Tribal			
National (citizenship)			
Ethnic			
Kin Group			
Religious			
Regional			
Socio-economic	Teenager		
• Other			
What is the actor's value system (norms)?			
Meritocracy	Х		
• Guilt			

• Honor/shame				
• Other:				

2 DAPSE Step 2: Assess decision calculus of actor decision maker

2.1 Interests typology for each actor

All entries should be from the perspective of the actor – not that of the US.

All entries should be from the perspective of the actor - Interests	Responses
What are the primary interests or objectives	•
(decision dimensions) as perceived by the relevant	
decision making unit for the issue or behavior the	
US wishes to deter?	
Enhance political control, authority, or legitimacy	
(domestic security)	
• Enhance political control, authority, or	
legitimacy (domestic security)	
Increase group membership or state population	
• Eliminate/ neutralize internal threats to regime or leadership	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
Tighten internal security and control	
• Create a crisis to divert domestic attention from other issues	
Co-opt source of perceived internal threat	
• Other:	
Maintain, strengthen status, political position, or	
movement	
Maintain, strengthen status	
Maintain, strengthen political position	
Maintain, strengthen movement	
• Other:	
Provide for national or group security from perceived	
external threat	
• Provide defense from or eliminate perceived external threat to constituents	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
Acquire WMD	
Expand Military /Force capability	
• Eliminate/ neutralize internal threat	
• Secure access to strategic resource flow	
• Other:	
Acquiring/maintaining resources	
Acquire/maintain wealth	
Acquire wealth	
Collect Taxes	
 Engage in illegal or illicit/black market activities 	
• Other:	
Acquire human capital / group members	

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor

Interests	Responses
• Increase group membership or supportive	
population base	
• Other:	
Growth/ expansion of a national, semi-diverse	
economy	
Grow economy	
Expand territory	
Collect taxes	
Create or improve access to natural resources	
Acquire Military /Force capability	
• Other:	
Prestige	
Enhanced international prestige	
Enhance international prestige	
Expand presence and stature in	
international/regional organizations and	
negotiations	
Seek claimed territory	
Intervene in neighboring countries	
Seek WMD	
Expand Military /Force capability	
Increase international political legitimacy	
Other (constructive or destructive) attempts to	
engage in international politics	
Other:	Look cool to peers, demonstrate to self power over
Other.	adults
Spread of ideology	
Spread of ideology	
• Seek territory (e.g., as a buffer zone)	
• Other:	
Promote Identity	
Differentiate or enhance national or group identity	
versus others	
• Non-violent attempts (e.g., communications	
or actions) to secure regional or international	
recognition of identity group's rights, culture,	
etc.	
• Other:	
Dominate another ethnicity or national group	
Dominate another ethnicity or national group	
• Seek territory, resources occupied by other	
group	
Occupy territory held/claimed by other group	
• Other:	
Increase group membership or population supportive	
of group's identity	

Interests	Responses	
 Increase group membership or population supportive of group's identity 		
Proselytize to "eligible" people outside immediate group		
• Other:		
Personal call to adhere to (often extremist) ideological precepts or religious teaching		
• Personal call to adhere (psychic benefits)		
• Other:		
What interests are most important to the actor?	Girls, rebellion, coolness	
What options related to the deterrable behavior	Steal peaches, chop down peach tree	
does the decision maker perceive as both available and politically, religiously, or ideologically acceptable?		
What preferred actions does the decision maker perceive as available and acceptable to the US?	Tell parents, give chase	
What are the most serious threats to each major interest identified? Do group members support the decision maker on this issue?	Humiliation/shame, juvenile hall	

3 DAPSE Step 3: Identify desired deterrence effects on actor decision calculus

- **3.1** Deterrence Decision Calculus (DDC) matrixes for each actor
- 3.1.1 Motivations/Interests, Objectives and Actions Tables 1&2

Analyze Motivations/Interests vs. Objectives vs. Actions:

- Motivations/Interests: that which energizes, directs, and maintains behavior (including decision-making)
- Objectives: concrete goals desired by decision maker. Concrete objectives are more predictive of proximate behavior than more abstract ideals, which are often invoked after-the-fact to justify actions. Can be held at risk or denied.
- Actions: Activities we seek to influence

Insert where appropriate (Motivations/Interests, Objectives or Actions) entry from Guidance – work backwards or forwards as appropriate to complete column and row headings for two tables below (Tables 1 and 2), using as many columns and rows as needed. Note that column headings in Table 1 (Objectives) should be identical to row headings in Table 2. Consider "Do Nothing" as one of the potential actions. Indicate with X which column headings apply to the corresponding row heading.

Note: In tables that follow, red borders are reminder that all information is from the perspective of the actor, not that of the US. Blue borders indicate information is from perspective of US. Table 1

Actor's	Actor's Objectives			
Motivations/ Interests	Rebel against parental authority	Appear cool to peers	High risk activities	Get peaches
Rebellion	Х		Х	
Coolness		Х	Х	
Adventure/Fun			Х	
Reduce Hunger				Х

Table 2.

Actor's Objectives	Actor's Actions				
	Steal peaches Cut down peach tree		Do nothing about peaches		
Rebel against parental authority	Х	Х			
Appear cool to peers	Х	Х			
High risk activities	Х	Х			
Get peaches	Х	X now but not future			

From Guidance

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor3.1.2 Capabilities Needed for Actions – Table 3

In Table 3 below, copy column headings in Table 2 (Actions) to be row headings (Column 1) in Table 3. Then enter as column headings the capabilities needed to perform the actions. Consider capabilities identified in Step 1. Use as many columns as needed. Then indicate with X which capabilities apply to each action.

Table 3.

	Actor's Capabilities	
Actor's Actions	Climb trees	Own and can use hatchet
Steal peaches	Х	
Cut down peach tree		Х
Do nothing about peaches		

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor3.1.3 Costs & Benefits that Accrue from Actions (What Actor Wants) – Table 4

Copy Row Headings (Column 1) from Table 3 (Actor's Actions) to Row Headings in Table 4 below.

Next, in column 2 identify elements of Context from step 1 that are relevant to each action.

In column 3 identify benefits to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of each action.

In column 4 identify the costs to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of each action.

In columns 5 & 6 identify the benefits and costs (respectively) to the Actor, as perceived by the Actor, of not performing each action (the consequences of restraint).

Tab	le	4.
-----	----	----

Actor's Actions	Relevant Context	Benefits to Actor	Costs to Actor	Benefits to Actor of Not Acting	Costs to Actor of Not Acting
Steal peaches	Next door; Teen male	Gets peaches; brags to friends; looks cool; rebels; has adventure	Parents punish	Not punished	Bored; No peaches; Not cool
Cut down peach tree	Next door; Teen male	Current peaches; Brags to friends; Looks cool; really rebels; has more adventure	No future peaches; parents really punish	Not punished; future peaches	Bored; No peaches; Not cool
Do nothing about peaches	Next door; Teen male		No peaches; bored; Not cool; Not rebel	NA	NA

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor **3.1.4** Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: Actor's Perceptions of US Options – Table 5

In Table 5 below copy Actor's Motivations/Interest from Table 1 to column headings. Copy Actors' Actions to Column 1 as indicated. In column 2 enter potential US response options as perceived by the actor – consider the full range of DIME options (identify with D, I, M, or E following entry). Note that response options can differ for various actions by actor. Insert or delete rows as needed to capture full range of potential US options.

In column 3 (Interest 1) insert for each Actor Action/US option pair, an estimate of how that pair supports (or is contrary to) interest 1. In column 4 (# following Interest 1) indicate which row represents the best outcome for the actor (1) and worst outcome (highest number). If row includes two possibilities (for example if actor is deterred or not deterred by US option), then two numbers can be inserted in column 4. If multiple entries are equal, assign the same number (e.g., four rows could be number 1 in which case next best outcome would be 5). Repeat for remaining interests.

Finally, in last column provide an estimate of overall rank. For example if a row is ranked #1 for each interest it would be #1 overall. If desired, columns can be weighted to consider relative importance of each interest.

Actor's		Actor's		Motivations/Interests						
Actions	US options	Rebellion	#	Coolness	#	Adventure/Fun	#	Reduce Hunger	#	Rank
Steal peaches	Tell parents (D)	Feeds rebellion but don't fear punishment	4	Can brag to friends about peaches and enduring punishment	4	Stealing is exciting	4	Peaches satisfy	1	4
	Give chase (M)	Feeds rebellion, and no chance of being caught	3	Can brag to friends about peaches and outrunning me	3	Stealing is exciting, so is chase	2	Peaches satisfy	1	2
Cut down peach tree	Tell parents (D)	Really feeds rebellion, tolerable punishment	2	Can brag to friends about peaches and tough punishment even more	2	Cutting tree is really exciting but punishment may inhibit	3	Peaches satisfy now but no future	3	3
	Give chase (M)	Really feeds rebellion, but no chance of being caught	1	Can brag to friends even more about peaches and outrunning me	1	Cutting tree is really exciting, so is chase	1	Peaches satisfy now but no future	3	1
Do nothing about peaches	Do nothing	No rebellion points	5	No cool points	5	Bored	5	Hungry	5	5

Table 5.

Cutting down tree likely, stealing peaches is less attractive but still interesting

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor **3.1.5** Analysis of Actor's Decision Calculus: US Perceptions of US Options – Table 6

Repeat as for Table 5 except in Column 2 insert US response options as perceived by US. Note that some entries may be the same but others may be discarded or inserted from US point-of-view. In columns 3 and following, the estimates of how each Actor Action/US option pair supports (or is contrary to) the interest is still done from actor's perception.

Actor's		Actor's		Motivations/Interests						
Actions	US options	Rebellion	#	Coolness	#	Adventure/Fun	#	Reduce Hunger	#	Rank
Steal peaches	Tell parents (D)	Feeds rebellion but don't fear punishment	2	Can brag to friends about peaches and enduring punishment	2	Stealing is exciting	2	Peaches satisfy	1	2
	Smear campaign by daughter (I)	Humiliation	5	Labeled dork	5	Shunned by hot girls	5	Peaches satisfy	1	4
Cut down peach tree	Tell parents (D)	Really feeds rebellion, tolerable punishment	1	Can brag to friends about peaches and tough punishment even more	1	Cutting tree is really exciting but punishment may inhibit	1	Peaches satisfy now but no future	3	1
	Smear campaign by daughter (I)	Humiliation	5	Labeled dork	5	Shunned by hot girls	5	Peaches satisfy now but no future	3	5
Do nothing about peaches	Do nothing	No rebellion points	3	No cool points	3	Bored	3	Hungry	5	3

Table 6.

Best and worst outcome for same action (cut trees) - therefore announce telling parents not an option but smear campaign by daughter will occur

Lever to be evaluated:

1) Smear campaign (peer pressure) more effective lever than threat of parental punishment.

ACTOR: Teenage Neighbor**3.1.6 Analysis of Refined US Options – Table 7**

Based on Analysis in Tables above, identify refined options. For example, if a certain US option (US option X) drives the Actor to a less desired action, a refined option might include announcing that the US would not consider Option X. Complete columns 4 and 5 with estimates of how the refined option would change the Actor's perception if that option and what the potential consequences of that change would be.

Table	7.

Actor's Actions	Refined US Option	Resultant Change in Actor's Perception of Action	Potential Consequences of Change in Actor Perceptions
Steal peaches	Announce won't tell parents but will start smear campaign (I)	Bad choice	Doesn't steal
Cut down peach tree	Announce won't tell parents but will start smear campaign (I)	Really bad choice	Doesn't cut tree
Do nothing about peaches	Do nothing	Best choice	Restrains

Questions regarding refined options:

Questions regarding remined options.
1. What are other consequences of US option on this actor?
Possibly get cool points for bad boy image
2. What are other consequences of US option on US?
Daughter labeled as tool of parents
· · ·
3. What are other consequences of US option on other actors?
Actor coerces little brother to steal peaches
4. What is most likely outcome?
Deterrence
5. What is least likely outcome?
Actor steals peaches
6. What is most dangerous outcome?
Actor cuts down peach tree
t

3.2 Identify testable influence levers

What influence levers emerge from the analysis above?

 Peer pressure

 Are there other alternatives that should be considered? If so what?

 Work with community to make thefts less attractive option among youth

 Are there potential lessons to be learned from previous attempts to deter this actor?

 Minor punishment just adds to rebellion, doesn't deter

These levers and alternatives as well as potential lessons to be learned can be addressed in Step 4 through use of models, subject matter experts, and other sources of information.